P.002/002 F-874 T-799 +2132539413 FROM-ABC LEGAL SERVICES 2011-0CT-05 13:25 FILED I Ray K. Shahani, Esq. SBN 160,814 7511 MOT -5 PH 2:0! Attorney at Law Twin Oaks Office Plaza 477 Ninth Avenue, Suite 112 3 San Mateo, California 94402-1854 Telephone: (650) 348-1444 Facsimile: (650) 348-8655 4 5 Attorney for Defendants JOHN Č. GREEN, LOUIS J. LOPEZ and JOHN C. PYLE 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 JASON O. WATSON, LACV11-5566 STO (RZ>) Case No: 10 Plaintiff ANSWER OF JOHN C. GREEN, LOUIS J. 11 LOPEZ and JOHN C. PYLE TO VS. COMPLAINT AND COUNTERCLAIMS 12 JOSE L. MARIN, JOHN C. GREEN, LOUIS 13 J. LOPEZ, JOHN C. PYLE, ZUMA PRESS, INC., MAINSTREAM DATA, INC., AND JURY TRIAL DEMANDED 14 JOHN DOES 1-10, 15 Defendants 16 JOHN C. GREEN, LOUIS J. LOPEZ, JOHN 17 C. PYLE. 18 Counterclaimants 19 VS. 20 JASON O. WATSON, AND DOES 1-10, 21 Counterdefendants 22 23 COME NOW Defendants JOHN C. GREEN, LOUIS J. LOPEZ, JOHN C. PYLE 24 (hereafter collectively "CAL SPORT MEDIA"), severing themselves from each and every other 25 Defendant and in their individual capacities, and herewith answer the Complaint of JASON O. WATSON (hereafter "Plaintiff") as follows: 26 27 1. Answering Paragraph 1 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations Answer to Complaint and Counterclaims Page | of 21

CSM AnswerCounterclaim 100311-2.wpd

Watson v. Marin et al.

12

11

14

15

13

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

27

28

26

contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.

- 2. Answering Paragraph 2 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 3. Answering Paragraph 3 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 4. Answering Paragraph 4 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 5. Answering Paragraph 5 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 6. Answering Paragraph 6 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA admits John C. Green is a citizen of Beaumont, California, who owns and operates Cal Sport Media in partnership with Louis J. Lopez and John C. Pyle.
- 7. Answering Paragraph 6 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA admits Louis J. Lopez is a citizen of Fontana, California, who owns and operates Cal Sport Media in partnership with John C. Green and John C. Pyle.
- 8. Answering Paragraph 6 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA admits John C. Pyle is a citizen of Buellton, California, who owns and operates Cal Sport Media in partnership with John C. Green and Louis J. Lopez.
- 9. Answering Paragraph 9 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 10. Answering Paragraph 10 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.

- 11. Answering Paragraph 11 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 12. Answering Paragraph 12 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 13. Answering Paragraph 13 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 14. Answering Paragraph 14 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 15. Answering Paragraph 15 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 16. Answering Paragraph 16 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 17. Answering Paragraph 17 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 18. Answering Paragraph 18 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 19. Answering Paragraph 19 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 20. Answering Paragraph 20 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations

contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.

- 21. Answering Paragraph 21 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 22. Answering Paragraph 22 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA realleges each of his prior responses to the paragraph re-alleged therein.
- 23. Answering Paragraph 23 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 24. Answering Paragraph 24 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 25. Answering Paragraph 25 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 26. Answering Paragraph 26 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 27. Answering Paragraph 27 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 20. Answering Paragraph 20 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 28. Answering Paragraph 28 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
 - 29. Answering Paragraph 29 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA re-

alleges each of his prior responses to the paragraph re-alleged therein.

- 30. Answering Paragraph 30 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 31. Answering Paragraph 31 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 32. Answering Paragraph 32 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 33. Answering Paragraph 33 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 34. Answering Paragraph 34 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 35. Answering Paragraph 35 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 36. Answering Paragraph 36 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 37. Answering Paragraph 37 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 38. Answering Paragraph 38 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA realleges each of his prior responses to the paragraph re-alleged therein.
 - 39. Answering Paragraph 39 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is

without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.

- 40. Answering Paragraph 40 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 41. Answering Paragraph 41 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 42. Answering Paragraph 42 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 43. Answering Paragraph 43 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 44. Answering Paragraph 44 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 45. Answering Paragraph 45 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 46. Answering Paragraph 46 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA realleges each of his prior responses to the paragraph re-alleged therein.
- 47. Answering Paragraph 47 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 48. Answering Paragraph 48 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.

- 49. Answering Paragraph 49 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 50. Answering Paragraph 50 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 51. Answering Paragraph 51 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 52. Answering Paragraph 52 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 53. Answering Paragraph 53 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA realleges each of his prior responses to the paragraph re-alleged therein.
- 54. Answering Paragraph 54 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 55. Answering Paragraph 55 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 56. Answering Paragraph 56 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 57. Answering Paragraph 57 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 58. Answering Paragraph 58 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations

contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.

- 59. Answering Paragraph 59 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 60. Answering Paragraph 60 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 61. Answering Paragraph 61 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 62. Answering Paragraph 62 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA realleges each of his prior responses to the paragraph re-alleged therein.
- 63. Answering Paragraph 63 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 64. Answering Paragraph 64 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 65. Answering Paragraph 65 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 66. Answering Paragraph 66 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 67. Answering Paragraph 67 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
 - 68. Answering Paragraph 68 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is

without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.

- 69. Answering Paragraph 69 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 70. Answering Paragraph 70 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 71. Answering Paragraph 71 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA realleges each of his prior responses to the paragraph re-alleged therein.
- 72. Answering Paragraph 72 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 73. Answering Paragraph 73 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 74. Answering Paragraph 74 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 75. Answering Paragraph 75 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA realleges each of his prior responses to the paragraph re-alleged therein.
- 76. Answering Paragraph 76 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 77. Answering Paragraph 77 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
 - 78. Answering Paragraph 78 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is

without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.

- 79. Answering Paragraph 79 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA realleges each of his prior responses to the paragraph re-alleged therein.
- 80. Answering Paragraph 80 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 81. Answering Paragraph 81 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 82. Answering Paragraph 82 of Plaintiff's Complaint, CAL SPORT MEDIA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

- 1. As and for a first, separate and distinct affirmative defense to the Complaint, and each and every cause of action thereof, CAL SPORT MEDIA alleges that Plaintiff's Complaint fails to state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action against CAL SPORT MEDIA.
- 2. As and for a second, separate and distinct affirmative defense to the Complaint, and each and every cause of action thereof, CAL SPORT MEDIA alleges that Plaintiff's claims are barred under the Fair Use Doctrine.
- 3. As and for a third, separate and distinct affirmative defense to the Complaint, and each and every cause of action thereof, CAL SPORT MEDIA alleges that Plaintiff's claims are barred by the Doctrine of Estoppel.
- 4. As and for a fourth, separate and distinct affirmative defense to the Complaint, and each and every cause of action thereof, CAL SPORT MEDIA alleges that Plaintiff's claims are barred due to the lack of copyrightable subject matter.
- 5. As and for a fifth, separate and distinct affirmative defense to the Complaint, and each and every cause of action thereof. CAL SPORT MEDIA alleges that Plaintiff's claims are

 bared by the Doctrine of Independent Creation.

- 6. As and for a sixth, separate and distinct affirmative defense to the Complaint, and each and every cause of action thereof, CAL SPORT MEDIA alleges that Plaintiff's claims are barred due to an express or implied license.
- 7. As and for a seventh, separate and distinct affirmative defense to the Complaint, and each and every cause of action thereof, CAL SPORT MEDIA alleges that Plaintiff's claims are bared by the Doctrine of Laches.
- 8. As and for an eighth, separate and distinct affirmative defense to the Complaint, and each and every cause of action thereof. CAL SPORT MEDIA alleges that Plaintiff's claims are bared by a defect in the copyright registration(s).
- 9. As and for a ninth, separate and distinct affirmative defense to the Complaint, and each and every cause of action thereof, CAL SPORT MEDIA alleges that Plaintiff's claims are barred due to the lack of copyright registration(s).
- 10. As and for a tenth, separate and distinct affirmative defense to the Complaint, and each and every cause of action thereof, CAL SPORT MEDIA alleges that Plaintiffs claims are barred by the applicable Statute of Limitations.
- 11. As and for a eleventh, separate and distinct affirmative defense to the Complaint, and each and every cause of action thereof, CAL SPORT MEDIA alleges that Plaintiffs claims are barred by the Doctrine of Waiver.
- 12. As and for a twelfth, separate and distinct affirmative defense to the Complaint, and each and every cause of action thereof, CAL SPORT MEDIA alleges that Plaintiffs claims are barred due to improper, or the omission of, copyright notice.
- 13. As and for a thirteenth, separate and distinct affirmative defense to the Complaint, and each and every cause of action thereof, CAL SPORT MEDIA alleges that Plaintiffs claims are barred by the Doctrine of Unclean Hands.
- 14. As and for a fourteenth, separate and distinct affirmative defense to the Complaint, and each and every cause of action thereof, CAL SPORT MEDIA alleges that Plaintiff failed to mitigate his damages. The damages claimed by Plaintiff could have been

6

4

9

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

2.0

21 22.

23

24

25 26

27

28

mitigated by due diligence on Plaintiffs part or by one acting under similar circumstances. The failure to mitigate is a bar to recovery under the Complaint.

- 15. As and for a fifteenth, separate and distinct affirmative defense to the Complaint, and each and every cause of action thereof, CAL SPORT MEDIA alleges on information and belief that the sole and proximate cause of the acts complained of by Plaintiff were due to the acts and/or omissions of persons and entities other than CAL SPORT MEDIA.
- 16. As and for a sixteenth, separate and distinct affirmative defense to the Complaint, and each and every cause of action thereof, CAL SPORT MEDIA alleges that his conduct was not the cause in fact, or the proximate cause, of any of the losses alleged by Plaintiff.
- 17. As and for a seventeenth, separate and distinct affirmative defense to the Complaint, and each and every cause of action thereof. CAL SPORT MEDIA alleges that Plaintiff's claims are barred in whole or in part by the Doctrine of Innocent Infringement.
- 18. As and for an eighteenth, separate and distinct affirmative defense to the Complaint, and each and every cause of action thereof, CAL SPORT MEDIA alleges that Plaintiffs claims are barred by Plaintiffs own negligence, carelessness, recklessness, or other fault in an about the matters alleged in the Complaint.
- 19. As and for a nineteenth, separate and distinct affirmative defense to the Complaint, and each and every cause of action thereof. CAL SPORT MEDIA alleges that Plaintiffs claims are bared by the Doctrine of Consent.
- 20. As and for a twentieth, separate and distinct affirmative defense to the Complaint, and each and every cause of action thereof, CAL SPORT MEDIA alleges that Plaintiff's claims are bared by Plaintiffs abandonment of their alleged rights in issue.
- 21. As and for a twenty-first, separate and distinct affirmative defense to the Complaint, and each and every cause of action thereof, CAL SPORT MEDIA alleges that Plaintiffs claims are barred due to the Doctrine of Copyright Misuse.
- 22. As and for a twenty-second, separate and distinct affirmative defense to the Complaint, and each and every cause of action thereof, CAL SPORT MEDIA alleges that Plaintiffs claims are barred by the First Sale Doctrine.

COUNTERCLAIM FOR DAMAGES

1. This is a counterclaim for damages for the actions of JASON O. WATSON (hereafter "Watson" or "Plaintiff"), and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive (collectively "Counterdefendants") for the actions of each of them, in damaging JOHN C. GREEN, LOUIS J. LOPEZ, JOHN C. PYLE (hereafter collectively "CAL SPORT MEDIA"," or "Counterclaimants").

JURISDICTION & VENUE

- 2. This Court has jurisdiction of this matter under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332 J338 and 1367. The issues in the underlying dispute generally involve matters of federal copyright law, and this Counterclaim is based on state-law claims arising from Counterdefendants' conduct in this dispute, including breach of contract, interference with contract, business defamation and slander, intentional infliction of emotional distress, unfair business practices, unjust enrichment, and conspiracy.
- 3. Venue is proper in this judicial district under 28 U.S.C. §1391. All of the allegations arise from facts, situations and circumstances identical to those described in Counterdefendants complaint against CAL SPORT MEDIA for affirmative relief, a substantial part of the events alleged occurred in this judicial district, and the Counterdefendants are subject to personal jurisdiction in this judicial district.

PARTIES

- 4. Counterclaimant JOHN C. GREEN is an individual and citizen of Beaumont, California. Counterclaimant LOUIS J. LOPEZ is an individual and citizen of Fontana, California. Counterclaimant JOHN C. PYLE is an individual and citizen of Buellton, California. JOHN C. GREEN, LOUIS J. LOPEZ, JOHN C. PYLE co-own and operate as partners in CAL SPORT MEDIA.
- 5. On information and belief, Plaintiff and Counterdefendants Watson is an individual residing in Santa Clara County in the State of California, and a party to this action. Counterclaimant does not know the true names of Counterdefendants DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, and therefore sues them by those fictitious names.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Breach of Contract, CCP 1559)

14. Civil Code section 1559 provides: "A contract, made expressly for the benefit of

26

27

26

27

28

a third person, may be enforced by him at any time before the parties thereto rescind it."

- 15. On October 31, 2007, JOSE MARIN (hereafter "MARIN") tendered a written PHOTOGRAPHER REPRESENTATIVE AGREEMENT to WATSON. The "Agreement" between WATSON and MARIN comprised terms included in either or both or neither of the Agreement tendered to WATSON on October 31, 2007 as well as that version of Exhibit A of the Plaintiff's Complaint, said Agreement was made expressly for the benefit of "Clients" of MARIN, i.e., end-user purchasers and/or licensees of photographic images.
- 16. CAL SPORT MEDIA is a client and licensee of MARIN, in that CAL SPORT MEDIA receives images from MARIN for sales and licensing. Upon sale or license of images received from MARIN, CAL SPORT MEDIA transmits commission in the amount of 50% of the sale price or licensing royalty amount to MARIN as per a written agreement between MARIN and CAL SPORT MEDIA. MARIN has agreed to indemnify CAL SPORT MEDIA against claims by third parties arising from their written agreement.
- 17. WATSON breached the agreement between himself and MARIN by committing the following acts or omissions:
 - WATSON competed directly with MARIN and, consequently, with all of the Clients of MARIN, by engaging in sales and marketing and licensing of the exact same images he transmitted to MARIN for sales and/or licensing by Clients of MARIN;
 - WATSON failed to provide images as promised, resulting in MARIN having a very small number of images to market for sales or licensing;
 - WATSON failed to attach proper, complete metadata to his images prior to transmission to MARIN as required by the Agreement with MARIN;
 - WATSON failed to provide images as requested by MARIN;
 - WATSON failed to inform MARIN and its Clients, including but not limited to CAL SPORT MEDIA, when and on what basis he terminated his agreement with MARIN.
 - 18. By breaching of the agreement with MARIN, WATSON has caused damages to

- 22. Said "course of conduct" by WATSON has been a pattern of conduct composed of a series of acts over a period of time, however short, committed by both WATSON and his attorney WRIGHT, acting under color of law, evidencing a continuity of purpose, including following and stalking the individual members of CAL SPORT MEDIA and its clients and subagents and customers, making harassing telephone calls of CAL SPORT MEDIA and its clients and sub-agents and customers, and sending harassing email, letters, and other correspondence to members of CAL SPORT MEDIA and its clients and sub-agents and customers, by means including, but not limited to, public and private mails, interoffice mail, fax, and/or computer e-mail.
- 23. The course of conduct has been such as would cause a reasonable person to suffer substantial emotional distress, and has actually caused substantial emotional distress to the

22

23

24

25

26

27

1	individual COUNTERCLAIMANTS, i.e., JOHN C. GREEN, LOUIS J. LOPEZ, AND JOHN C		
2	PYLE.		
3	24. CAL SPORT MEDIA is entitled to reasonable attorneys fees for violation of		
4	CCP 527.6.		
5	25. CAL SPORT MEDIA has no adequate remedy at law, and therefore, unless		
6	enjoined, WATSON will cause irreparable business damages to CAL SPORT MEDIA.		
7	THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION		
8	(Defamation, Slander and Libel, CCP 44 et seq.)		
9	26. Counterclaimants re-alleges and incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through		
10	25 above.		
11	27. WATSON has committed acts of libel against CAL SPORT MEDIA and each of		
12	its partners by his false and unprivileged publications, by his writing, printing, and other fixed		
13	representation to the eye, such as in the form of a publically filed Complaint, which exposes the		
14	partners of CAL SPORT MEDIA to hatred, contempt, ridicule, or obloquy, and which has caused		
15	CAL SPORT MEDIA and its members to be shunned or avoided, and which has had the		
16	tendency to injure CAL SPORT MEDIA and its partners in their occupation.		
17	28. Said defamation is libel on its face.		
18	29. WATSON has engaged in slander, a false and unprivileged publication, orally		
19	uttered, or communicated by mechanical means, which has injured CAL SPORT MEDIA and its		
20	partners in respect to their office, profession, trade and business, by imputing to them general		
21	disqualification in those respects which the occupation peculiarly requires, i.e., honesty with		
22	respect to payment of royalty fees to artists and photographers, and by imputing dishonesty with		
23	reference to the profession, trade, and business of photographic image licensing that has a natura		
24	tendency to lessen its profits, and, which by natural consequence, causes actual damage.		
25	30. CAL SPORT MEDIA has no adequate remedy at law, and therefore, unless		
26	enjoined, WATSON will cause irreparable business damages to CAL SPORT MEDIA.		
27	FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION		
28	(Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress)		

1	31.	Counterclaimants re-alleges and incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through	
2	30 above.		
3	32.	Counterdefendants' conduct alleged in this Counterclaim was outrageous.	
4	Counterdefendants intended to cause, or had a reckless disregard of the probability of causing,		
5	emotional distress to Counterclaimant. Counterclaimant did suffer severe emotional distress		
6	which was actually and proximately caused by Counterdefendants conduct. Counterdefendants		
7	damaged Counterclaimant in an amount to be demonstrated at trial.		
8	FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION		
9	(Unfair Business Practices - CaL Bus. & Prof Code Section §17200, et seq)		
10	33.	Counterclaimants re-alleges and incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through	
11	32 above.		
12	34.	The aforesaid acts of Counterdefendants, and each of them, constitute unfair	
13	competition, all in violation of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code Section § 17200, c/ seq., (West 2008).		
14	35.	As a direct and proximate result of Counterdefendants' unlawful actions,	
15	Counterclaimant has suffered and will continue to suffer irreparable injury. Counterclaimant is		
16	entitled to preliminary and permanent injunctive relief, and recovery of monetary damages		
17	according to proof.		
18	SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION		
19		(Unjust Enrichment)	
20	36.	Counterclaimants re-alleges and incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through	
21	35 above.		
22	37.	By the aforesaid wrongful acts, Counterdefendants, and each of them, have and	
23	are attempting to unjustly enrich themselves.		
24	38.	As a direct and proximate result of Counterdefendants' unlawful actions,	
25	Counterclaimant has suffered and will continue to suffer irreparable injury. Counterclaimants as		
26	entitled to an award of monetary relief, costs, and reasonable attorney's fees.		
27	SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION		
28	(Conspiracy)		
ll ll			

That Counterclaimants receive such other and further relief as this Court deems

4.

CSM AnswerCounterclaim 100311-2.wpd

Watson v. Marin et al.

Case 2:1/1-cv-05566-SJO -RZ Document 20 Filed 10/05/11 Page 21 of 22 Page ID #:342

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

I am employed in the county of San Mateo, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is Twin Oaks Office Plaza, 477 Ninth Avenue, Suite 112, San Mateo, CA 94402-1854.

On <u>October 52011</u>, I served the foregoing document described as:

1. ANSWER OF JOHN C. GREEN, LOUIS J. LOPEZ, AND JOHN C. PYLE TO COMPLAINT AND COUNTERCLAIMS.

on parties on the mailing list.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

____ by placing the true cop(ies) thereof enclosed in sealed envelopes addressed as stated on the attached mailing list.

BY FIRST CLASS MAIL

I deposited such envelope in the mail in San Mateo, California. The envelope was mailed with postage thereon fully prepaid.

X BY ELECTRONIC MAIL

I caused each document to be sent by Electronic Mail to the email address(es) indicated in the mailing list.

I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice, it would be deposited with U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at SAN MATEO, California in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

Mailing List:

Attorney for Plaintiff: Carolyn E. Wright, Esq. Law Office of Carolyn E. Wright LLC P.O. Box 430 Glenbrook, NV 89413 cewright@cewrightlaw.com	Attorney for Mainstream Data Inc. Lincoln D. Bandlow, Esq. Lathrop & Gage LLP 1888 Century Park East Suite 1000 Los Angeles, CA 90067 Lbandlow@lathropgage.com
Attorney for Jose L. Marin John Faustino Bazan, Esq. John F. Bazan Law Offices 7743 S. Painter Ave., Suite A Whittier, CA 90602 johnfbazan@yahoo.com	Scott McKiernan Zuma Press scott@zumapress.com

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on October 52011, at San Mateo, California.

LEOK. LAI

Watson v. Marin et al., Green et al., v. Watson, LACV11-5566

Proof of Service